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## 2-(2,6-Diisopropylphenylimino)-1-phenyl-propan-1-one

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}$, all bond lengths and angles show normal values. Non-classical hydrogen bonds are responsible for the deviation from a planar arrangement.

## Comment

In the past few years, $\alpha$-diimines and iminoketones have attracted interest as ligands in the synthesis of complexes used as catalysts in olefin polymerization reactions (Koten \& Vrieze, 1980; Johnson et al., 1995; Binotti et al., 2004). Crystallographic studies of free iminoketones are relatively scarce compared to $\alpha$-diimines. The present communication describes the chief characteristics of 2-(2,6-diisopropyl-phenylimino)-1-phenylpropan-1-one, (I).

(I)

The structure of (I) can be discussed in terms of its hydrogen-bonding scheme. The individual molecules show intramolecular non-classical hydrogen bonds including a trifurcated acceptor geometry (C6-H6, C16-H16, C19H19)..NN1 (Jeffrey et al., 1985), with atom N1 located 0.27 (1) $\AA$ above the plane of the three H atoms (Fig. 1 and Table 2).

The non-classical hydrogen bonds $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{H} 19 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1$ and $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{H} 16 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1$ give rise to two five-membered rings sharing an edge ( $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$ bond). The $\mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{C} 7 / \mathrm{C} 8 / \mathrm{C} 19 / \mathrm{H} 19$ ring is almost planar (r.m.s. deviation $=0.0764^{\circ}$ ), while the $\mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{C} 7 /$ $\mathrm{C} 12 / \mathrm{C} 16 / \mathrm{H} 16$ ring shows a small deviation from planarity (r.m.s. deviation $=0.1121 \AA$ ). An interplanar angle of $9.0(1)^{\circ}$ is observed between the rings. If we take into account the third non-classical hydrogen bond, viz. $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1$, additional consequences can also be inferred. The first is the formation of a six-membered ring ( $\mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{C} 14 / \mathrm{C} 13 / \mathrm{C} 1 / \mathrm{C} 6 / \mathrm{H} 6$ ), with a half-boat conformation. The second feature is the $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{N} 1$ torsion angle $\left[-150.7(2)^{\circ}\right]$, which is equivalent to an angle of $27.1(1)^{\circ}$ between the planes $\mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{C} 14 / \mathrm{C} 15$ and $\mathrm{O} 1 / \mathrm{C} 13 / \mathrm{C} 1$.
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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=295 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.003 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.048$
$w R$ factor $=0.144$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=13.5$
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Finally, we believe that this hydrogen bond is responsible for the $68.49(3)^{\circ}$ deviation from coplanarity of the phenyl ring and the ring carrying the isopropyl groups.

The values found for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ distances (Table 1) agree well with what is expected for this bonding mode as described in the literature (International Tables for Crystallography, 1985, Vol. III, p. 270).

## Experimental

2,6-Diisopropylaniline ( $2.85 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly at room temperature to a methanol solution ( 20 ml ) of 1-phenylpropane-1,2dione ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the presence of a catalytic amount of formic acid. After 6 h of magnetic stirring, the crude yellow product was isolated by filtration, washed with cold methanol ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and dried in vacuum. Yellow block-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by recrystallization from a methanol solution (yield $0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$; m.p. 368 K ).

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}$
$M_{r}=307.42$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{b} / n$
$a=9.9291$ (4) A
$b=18.5953$ (6) $\AA$
$c=10.4394$ (4) $\AA$
$\beta=108.015$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1832.98(12) \AA^{3}$

## $Z=4$

$D_{x}=1.114 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.07 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=295$ (2) K
Block, yellow
$0.26 \times 0.24 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

## Bruker APEX-II CCD area-

detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: none
16097 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.048$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.144$
$S=1.07$
3408 reflections
253 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$$
\begin{gathered}
w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0598 P)^{2}\right. \\
\quad+0.2624 P] \\
\text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \\
\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.18 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
\Delta \rho_{\min }=
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 1
Perspective view of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C6-H6 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 1$ | $0.95(2)$ | $2.47(2)$ | $2.967(2)$ | $112.3(15)$ |
| C16-H16 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 1$ | $0.96(2)$ | $2.56(2)$ | $2.943(2)$ | $103.9(13)$ |
| C19-H19 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 1$ | $0.97(2)$ | $2.37(2)$ | $2.856(2)$ | $110.3(14)$ |

Phenyl and methine H atoms were located in a Fourier difference map and refined freely. Methyl H atoms were positioned geometrically, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances fixed ( $0.96 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}^{2} p^{3} \mathrm{H}$ atoms), and the atoms refined as riding on their respective C atoms, with an isotropic displacement parameter 1.5 times $U_{\text {eq }}$ for the attached Csp ${ }^{3}$ atom. The methyl groups were refined as rigid groups, with rotation around the respective $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds as a free variable.

Data collection: COSMO and APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).
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